### MACKENZIE VALLEY OPERATIONAL DIALOGUE

Presentations and Case Studies | March 10-12, 2020

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

MVLWB Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act - In A Day	3
Aurora Geosciences - Mining Exploration an Industry Perspective	42
WLWB - Rover Metals Case Study	85
Gold Terra - Engagement Case Study	92



Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act (MVRMA) In A Day











## Roundtable of Introductions

- Name
- Organization
- Role



## The Co-management of Resources in the NWT



Areas without Settled Land Claims (Interim Agreements)

## The MVRMA & Co-management



Wek'èezhì



*Co-management* is a system that recognizes the Traditional Knowledge of residents and gives them the right to participate in decision making.

For the resource and regulatory Boards, it means a system of resource management that considers <u>environmental</u>, <u>economic</u>, and <u>social</u> concerns from Aboriginal, Territorial, and Federal governments.



#### Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



## Land and Resource Co-Management



## Land Ownership in the NWT



#### Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley







#### Photo Credit to Gordon Court

## **Boards in the Co-management System**



- Gwich'in Land and Water Board
- Sahtu Land and Water
   Board
- Wek'èezhìi Land and Water Board
- Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board



## **Board Structure**





## Our Mandate

- Provide for the conservation, development and utilization of land and water resources in a manner that will provide optimum benefit
- Must consider the importance of conservation to well-being and way of life to Aboriginal peoples
- Traditional knowledge and scientific information



## What the Land and Water Boards Do

- Conduct Preliminary Screenings
- Ensure decisions are made in accordance with approved Land Use Plans
- Regulate the use land and water and the deposit of waste

## The Legislative Framework

	With respect to lands outside of a federal area:	With respect to a federal area
Water Licences	<ul><li>Waters Act</li><li>Waters Regulations</li><li>Delegation Instrument - Waters</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Mackenzie Valley Federal Areas Waters Regulations</li> </ul>
Land Use	Mackenzie Va Manage	alley Resource ment Act
Permits	Mackenzie Valley	Land Use Regulations

• •

# When do you need a Land Use Permit?



# When do you need a Water Licence?





## **The Regulatory Process**

(for Land Use Permits and Water Licences)

Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



\*\*\*\*\*

## **Pre-Application**

Land Use Permit

Water Licence

Contact Land and Water Board staff

**Engagement** – contact affected parties and seek feedback

Collect necessary site and/or baseline information

Right of Access – obtain	N/A
permission from landowner	

## **Application Review**

Land Use Permit

#### Water Licence

Application deemed complete

#### **Application sent out for review and comment**

Preliminary Screening (1<sup>st</sup> level of EIA)

Public hearing unlikely	Public hearing possible (required for Type A)
<42 days for Board decision	New: Nine (9) months *does not include proponent time

ssuance	
Land Use Permit	Water Licence
They will include condition	ons to minimize impacts:
Methods & Timing	Studies/Reports/Plans
Protection of habitat, historic/ archaeological/burial sites	Monitoring / Effluent Quality Criteria (EQC)
Closure and	Reclamation
Security	Deposits
Term up to 5 years	New: Term up to life of project

## **Administration**

Land Use Permit

### Water Licence

Compliance enforced by Inspectors (Canada / New: GNWT)

Amendments and renewals possible

Management plans: review and approval

Ongoing reporting of activities

## **Administration**

Land Use Permit

### Water Licence

Compliance enforced by Inspectors (Canada / New: GNWT)

Amendments and renewals possible

Management plans: review and approval

Ongoing reporting of activities



Land Use Permit	Water Licence
Final plan required for	Preliminary, interim and
relinquishment of	final Closure and
liability and refund of	<b>Reclamation Plans may</b>
security	be necessary
MVLURs (S. 32)	WA (S. 35) and
	MVRMA (S. 72.11)

••••••

## Environmental Impact Assessment Overview



## Stage 1: Screening by LWB Staff Preliminary Screening

Preliminary screener conducts public review Preliminary screener finds: The proposed development might cause significant adverse impacts on the environment or be a cause for public concern.

Application proceeds to regulatory phase

NO

YES

Land and Water Boards of the

Mackenzie Valley

Application is referred to environmental assessment

## Stage 2 & 3: Environmental Assessment

- After a development proposal is screened, it may be referred to environmental assessment
- Others can refer projects to EA
- The Review Board:
  - Conducts environmental assessments
  - Conducts environmental impact reviews



## Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



## **Boards' Process**

- Work with proponents and affected parties
- Public review of applications/submissions
- Technical session, workshops, community meetings, public hearings
- Considers Traditional Knowledge and scientific evidence
- Make a decision

## How Boards' Work

- Evidence on the record
- Term of authorization
- Conditions
- Reporting requirements (e.g., Annual, SNP)
- Monitoring requirements (e.g., SNP, AEMP)
- Enforcement

## Principles for Administrative/Quasi-Judicial Tribunals



## **MVRMA** Amendments

- Timelines\*
- Life of Project Licence
- Development Certificates
- Acting after expiry of term
- Administrative Monetary Penalties
- 10 day Pause Period Preliminary Screening
- Regional Studies
- Cost Recovery
- Consultation Regulations



## **Timelines – without extensions**

	Process	LWB / Review Board Time	<b>Ministerial Time</b>	Total Time		
	Permit	10 days check, 42 days review	n/a	52 days		
	Licensing with a public hearing	9 months	45 days	10.5 months		
	Environmental Assessment, no hearing	9 Months	3 Months	12 Months		
	Environmental Assessment with hearing	16 Months	5 Months	21 Months		
	Environmental Impact Review	18 Months	6 Months	24 Months		

## Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley

## Meeting the Challenges

- Areas without Land Claims
- Capacity to participate
- Lack of Land Use Plans
- Cumulative effects
- Uncertainty around declining Caribou Herds
- Regulatory gaps federal and territorial
- Free entry system for mineral exploration
- Enforcement Capacity

## Key Strengths

- MVRMA Rooted in Claims
- Ensure protection of the environment from significant adverse impacts of projects
- Considers economic, social and cultural well-being of residents
- Including the recognition of Indigenous rights and traditional way of life
- More decision makers from the region
- Reduces (or eliminates) cross- cultural dynamic during proceedings


# **Tools for Success**

- Engagement and Consultation
- Engagement Guidelines for Applicants and Holders of Water Licences and Land Use Permits
- Guide to the Water Licence Process
- Guide to Land Use Permitting Process
- Standard Land Use Permit Template
- Document Submission Standards



# **Tools for Success**

- Water and Effluent Quality Management Policy
- Guidelines for Effluent Mixing Zones
- Guidelines for Aquatic Effects Monitoring Programs
- Guidelines for the Closure and Reclamation of Advanced Mineral Exploration and Mine Sites in the Northwest Territories
- Guidelines for Closure and Reclamation Cost Estimates for Mines



# **Tools for Success**

- Guidelines for Developing a Waste Management Plan
- Standard Outline for Management Plans
- Municipal O&M Templates
  - Water Treatment Plant
  - Solid Waste Disposal Facility
  - Spill Contingency Plan
  - Water Licence Questionnaire
- Guideline for GIS Submissions

### Land and Water Boards of the **Mackenzie Valley MVLWB/GNWT Operation and Maintenance Plan MVLWB** emplates for Municipal Water icences: Spill Contingency Plan **Rules of Procedure Including Public Hearings** Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board **MVLWB** Gwich'in Land and Water Board Sahtu Land and Water Board Wek'èezhii Land and Water Board **Operation and Maintenance Plan** December 201 **Templates for Municipal Water** Licences: Solid Waste Disposal Fa

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Boa



Mársı | Kinanāskomitin | Thank you | Merci | Hąj' | Quana | Qujannamiik | Quyanainni | Máhsı | Máhsı | Mahsì

Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



# **Contact Information**

Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board P.O Box 2130 4922 - 48th Street 7th Floor YK Centre Mall Yellowknife, NT. X1A 2P6 Main office: (867) 669-0506

Community Outreach Coordinator: Tanya Lantz, tlantz@mvlwb.com



## Mineral Exploration – An Industry Perspective For Mackenzie Valley Operational Dialogue

By: Gary Vivian, Chair of Aurora Geosciences and Past President of the NWT & Nunavut Chamber of Mines March 10, 2020

# **Key Messages**

- Industry is here at invitation from governments
- To generate benefits
- NWT exploration is the most critical initiative to sustain mining benefits
- MVRMA reboot it can't just be about environmental protection. We need to also ask about the social and economic well being of all northerners
- Current economic situation current industry situation (production forecasts and exploration investments along with federal government philosophy). We need to change the landscape. Investment is sorely lacking. The change may come with baby steps but our mineral resources are our strength.



# A reminder: Why is Industry here?

- To do what governments cannot do
  - Convert rock into benefits training, jobs, business spending, tax revenues
  - Assume the high risk of exploration, mining and the markets
  - Bring considerable public and private investment money to do the job, not from government
  - Bring expertise to share and build capacity, wealth and benefits
- Industry is doing a fine job, but ... it can't do this alone
- Industry needs collaboration and support from all governments NWT, Federal & Indigenous – in order to maximize resource success, industry needs access to land and regulatory certainty



# We have done well so far: NWT's largest private sector economic contributor



"Over the past 3 years, diamond mines contributed 41% of the GNWT's corporate income, fuel, property and payroll tax revenue"

... GNWT Spokesperson

- The largest direct private sector contributor to NWT economy
- And even larger with <u>indirect</u> contributions to other sectors, eg, construction, transportation, etc.
- Tourism, fishing, etc. fits within the smaller slices of the GDP pie



# Diamond mining has created game changing value



• NWT is third most valuable diamond miner in the world



# **Diamond production value has been turned into significant benefits**

(Chamber of mines data since 1996)

- 61,495 person-years of employment
  - 48% northern/52% southern/24% Indigenous
  - 1,540 northern workforce
- \$21 billion in business
  - \$14.6 billion northern (69%) of which \$6.3 billion is Indigenous
- Well over \$200 million to communities in IBA payments, scholarships, donations, & community wellness projects
- Billions in various taxes & royalties to governments (public and Indigenous)



# **Det'on Cho mining business example**

### • Approximate YKDFN employment

 100 members are employed directly by the mines and another 100 members indirectly (through companies such as DCC, etc.)

### Det'on Cho Corporation employment

DCC has approximately 220 direct employees with an additional
 660 workers through partnerships and JVs (Approximately 70% NWT residents)

### Bouwa Whee Catering

- 160 employees, 90% are NWT residents, 50% are Indigenous

### • Det'on Cho Corporation contribution to local economy

- Average wage \$90,000+ / year (higher than National avg)
- \$54M in wages (\$90K \* 600) spent in local economy
- Transfer payment: \$17,650,000 (600 \* \$29,431)





# **Alternatives North perspective on the economic multiplier**

NUM	BER OF J	OBS CREATED PER \$ MILLION INVESTED
23.4		
15.9		
13.7		TRANSIT AND GROUND PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION
13.3		ARTS, ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION
12.9	×~	
12.4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
11.9		PERSONAL AND LAUNDRY SERVICES AND PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS
11.3		ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES
10.7		ACCOMMODATION AND FOOD SERVICES
9.7		SUPPORT ACTIVITIES FOR AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY
8.5	Acres 14	
6.8		
6.7	23	WASTE MANAGEMENT AND REMEDIATION SERVICES
6.6	4	PROFESSIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL SERVICES
6.4	and the second s	HEALTH CARE AND SOCIAL ASSISTANCE
5.5	<u>&gt;&gt;</u>	SUPPORT ACTIVITIES FOR MINING AND OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION
4.1	Ż	INFORMATION AND CULTURAL INDUSTRIES
4.1		TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING
3.5		
1.6	4	ELECTRIC POWER GENERATION, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION
1.1	$\sim$	
0.5	113	OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION

Note: For a complete list of economic multipliers in the NWT, see Appendix A in the full report. Source: Northwest Territories Bureau of Statistics, 2012, NWT Economic Multipliers: Overview and Results



# Mining and Oil & Gas provide highest value per job





# NWT has some proposed new mines in the wings





# But ... not enough new mines to replace diamond benefits





# Not enough new mines to sustain mining benefits



• Production will decline in coming years and affect all NWT (future years are conceptual)



# **Economic projections not healthy**

The Conference Board Le Conference Board du Canada

# NORTHWEST TERRITORIES Economic Prospects Grim

### Chapter Summary

- Peak diamond production has passed in the Northwest Territories. Diamond production will begin to fall, and all three operating mines will close by 2035.
- Two new metal mines will open in the next five years, but that will not make up for the decline in diamond production, leaving mining output to fall for most of the next 23 years.
- Almost all sectors of the territory's economy will suffer as a result of declining diamond production. Employment will contract, resulting in higher outmigration and unemployment.
- As a percentage of the territorial population, the number of seniors will triple by 2040, putting pressure on the government's finances.
- Real economic growth will contract by 2.9 per cent this year and be flat in 201 before falling for most of the rest of the forecast period.



### 'Looks like a dark cloud' for the N.W.T.'s economy, say Indigenous leaders

### f 🍠 🥶 in 📾

Indigenous leaders met in Yellowknife this week to talk about the territory's econom Gabriela Panza-Beltrandi - CBC News - Posted: Dec 13, 2018 2:54 PM CT | Last Updated: December 13, 2018



Northwest Territories Challenging times for the Northwest Territories



# Exploration finds new mines: We have fantastic untapped mineral potential

- 8 geological provinces
- Diverse mineralogy
  - Gold, silver, diamonds, lead, zinc, uranium, tungsten, rare earths, cobalt, bismuth, nickel, copper, iron, etc.
- Under-mapped and remote means under-explored
- This equals tremendous mining opportunity

### Myth: We have found it all!





# But, we've closed over 30% of the NWT to exploration

- Hard to find new mines if land is not accessible
- All the grey is off limits to exploration
  - Conservation, eg: parks, candidate protected areas
  - Unsettled land claims
  - Land use plans
- And some 'open' areas are effectively closed
- Industry is increasingly challenged for access to land to explore





# What else have we done to make exploration difficult?

- These have all helped make NWT less attractive to investors by creating uncertainty, reducing land access, and adding costs
  - **2000-2018**: Unsettled land claims challenge land access create uncertainty
  - **2000-2014**: NWT Protected Areas Strategy 60,000 sq.km. protection
  - **2007**: Akaitcho land claim 62,000 sq.km. interim withdrawal
  - **2007**: Thaidene Nene land withdrawal of 33,000 sq.km.
  - 2007: 18,000 sq.km exploration blocked Upper Thelon, investors lost \$25 million
  - 2008: New Akaitcho requirement for onerous Exploration Agreements
  - 2009: North Arrow Minerals grassroots exploration challenged in court
  - **2010**: Akaitcho "public concern" triggers EA for TNR Gold grassroots project
  - **2015**: 'public concern' triggers EA for grassroots sand exploration
  - **2016**: UNESCO biosphere reserve over 93,000 sq.km. around Great Bear Lake no consultation
  - 2019: Bathymetry issue

### • Most of these have not been resolved: saying we are open for business is risky



# The result? Exploration investment continues to underperform



- Exploration continues to languish and we continue to lose investment share
- We've missed out on over \$1.4 billion in exploration investment compared to Yukon, NU since 2007
- The 2014-19 Mineral Strategy has not been enough to increase investment: Other factors are trumping it

# Myth: The markets are to blame

# How do we fix flagging exploration?

- To attract investment, you must Know Your Customer
  - Who are they?
  - What do they do? How they work
  - How do they finance their work?
  - What are their limitations?



# **Exploration** is high risk for failure and the footprint is very small

- Not every exploration finds a mine; the odds are very much against success  ${}^{\bullet}$
- And Exploration footprint is small, and it is also reclaimed

Only 1 in 1,000 exploration projects becomes a mine



# And exploration affects very little land

# Number of projects by stage worldwide

- About 4,000 mines today
- 23,866 hopeful projects
- 19,172 in early exploration
- 3,546 advanced exploration
- 671 in economic study
- 174 permitting
- 201 under construction
- 102 being commissioned



intelligence



# What is exploration?

- Investigation and Identification
- Preparation and Analysis
- First drilling
- Advanced drilling
- Advanced exploration
- Brownfield site exploration





# What is early stage exploration?

# WHAT IS EXPLORATION?

# 01

### INVESTIGATION AND IDENTIFICATION

Teams identify areas of geological interest to investigate further. They narrow down the search area using existing survey data, before applying for permits and access permissions from the national government and local residents. Community engagement and safety and risk analysis are ongoing activities throughout all projects.





# What is exploration?

### **PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS**

The team gathers information through various field activities: local consultation, particularly to establish areas of environmental sensitivity or cultural heritage; rock, soil and water sample analyses; and geophysical surveys, which measure properties of the earth from specially adapted aircraft or on-the-ground equipment to predict what is underground.





# What is grassroots exploration?





# What is advanced drilling?



### **ADVANCED DRILLING**

If there are positive results from the initial drill samples, more equipment may be brought in for further testing, including environmental studies. Stages three and four often create local job opportunities.





# What is advanced exploration?

# **ADVANCED EXPLORATION**

By this point, the team is confident that the discovery is worth developing. Community consultation steps up as extensive drilling begins in order to fully understand the deposit and geology. The camp may now be more established and the team more permanent. Planning work considers aspects such as engineering, the environment and project design.







# What is brownfields exploration?



### **BROWNFIELD SITE EXPLORATION**

Exploration work in areas close to an existing operation may help extend the life of the mine or inform operational decisions related to its future. Whether the land is within the mining area or just close by, the process is similar to greenfield exploration, involving the above stages and continued community consultation.



# **Exploration is Risky – like finding a needle in a haystack**

### Only 1 in 1,000 exploration projects becomes a mine





Wally chooses a haystack



# Bingo has better odds – many players, many cards

- We need "more players, more cards"
- Mining success improves with lots of exploration investment and multiple projects





# **Early stage exploration is "Low Impact"**



Wally collecting stream sediment samples





# **Low Impact Exploration**





Wally tries his hand at helicopter geophysics


### **Grassroots drilling is small impact – even on ice**



'X' marks the spot where Wally plans to drill his first test hole





## More low impact grassroots drilling projects



## Grassroots drilling is small impact, and no guarantees





Wally has just drilled unsuccessful hole number 999—he hopes that the '1 in 1000' statistic is really true!



## **Drilling removes small diameter cores of rock samples**



Wally examining his drill core





## **Drilling on ice is common – still small footprint**





## **Even multiple drills are small impact**





### **Grassroots success means moving onto advanced exploration**



Wally's drill hole number 1000 was a spectacular success!





## **Bulk sampling drilling – footprints now larger**





## **Advanced Exploration: Bulk sample mining – footprint larger**





## **Other issues**

- I would like to acknowledge the very frank and open discussion we had with CanNor, GNWT and CIRNAC after the PDAC about legislation, policies, etc.
- I would like to thank Lisa, Pam and Rebecca for reaching out.
- We are appreciative of this discussion to enhance and further transparent and open dialogue.
- Also, I would like to thank Shelagh for reaching out to industry to initiate the first ever board-industry collaboration. Dates to be announced.



## **Other issues for further discussion**

- We would like to openly engage on other issues as well, eg:
  - Make Type B Land Use Permits useful again, quicker and more applicable to early stage exploration needs
  - Revisit the need for limited management plans at early stage exploration
  - Timelines how to shorten for early stage exploration
  - Archaeological requirement matched to stage
  - Better securities calculation for mineral exploration projects
  - Improved engagement with industry, eg, opportunities to resolve issues early
  - Reboot of the MVRMA possibly even speaking to some of this over the next couple of days. Siloed approach by all governments but where are socioeconomic considerations in these decisions.



## **Thank You!**

I look forward to the next couple of days of open discussion.

## **Questions or comments??**







### Case Study 1: Engagement Rover Metals Corp. W2018C0002











# Rover Metals Case Study – Overview

- Example of a project which had good engagement, and submitted a mostly-complete application
- Case highlights importance of proof of eligibility information requirement
- Insufficiencies in the application were addressed quickly and process went smoothly
- Through communication between the company and Board staff, application was adjusted and permit structured so that a potential challenge had a clear way forward
- Clear and regular communication between proponents and Board staff helps to ensure a smooth process

# Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



## Rover Metals - Cabin Lake Group Project

- Small Exploration project in Wek'èezhìi region – Cabin, Camp, and Slemon Lakes – 5 claims
- 1-3 drills (50 holes/year), trenching, geophysical surveys
- Small camp



## Initial Application Process

- Submitted May 15, 2018
- Engagement Plan & Record Included mostly complete
- Claim areas were "excluded parcels" within Tłicho Lands – proposed road access through Cultural Heritage Zone
- Application deemed incomplete May 24
  - Discrepancy between mineral claims and Tłicho Agreement (proof of eligibility)
  - More detail needed on proof of Tłicho Lands Access
  - Engagement with North Slave Metis Alliance required

## Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley



## **Application Review**

- Additional information submitted June 8, application deemed complete and distributed June 12.
- Tłicho Government recommended during review that the Tłicho Land Use Plan be considered in Board's decisions
- Rover committed to seek approval from Tłichǫ Government for road access
- Rover's commitment opened door for Board to include road in the scope of the Permit



## Issuance

- Permit issued July 19, 2018 (37 days from complete application receipt)
- Engagement & Closure Plans approved at issuance
- Spill & Waste to be revised and re-submitted
- Approval from Tłichǫ Government required for road access, permit can be amended to include road if approved

## Land and Water Boards of the Mackenzie Valley

Wek'èezhìu	Box 32, Wek Tel: 867-713- #1-4905 48 <sup>th</sup> Tel: 867-765- www.wlwb.t	Box 32, Welwveèti, NT X0E 1W0 Tel: 867-713-2500 Fax: 867-713-2502 #1-4905 48 <sup>th</sup> Street, Yellowknife, NT X1A 3S3 Tel: 867-765-4592 Fax: 867-765-4593 www.whyb.ca		
LAND USE PERMIT	Permit Class	Permit No	Amendment No	
	A	W2018C0002		
To proceed with the land use operation d	Permittee lescribed in applicat	ion of:		
Signature		Date		
Ron Woo Type of Land use Operation Mineral Exploration including: Establ	lishment of a camp	June 8, 201	8 I, use of vehicles	
Ron Woo Type of Land use Operation Mineral Exploration including: Establ and machines, mineral exploration in Construction, use, and maintenance Loation Cabin Lake Property, Camp Lake Prop	lishment of a camp neluding diamond of winter roads ar perty, Slemon Lake	June 8, 201 osite, storage of fue and RC drilling, tren ad access trails.	.8 I, use of vehicles Iching, and	
Ron Woo           Type of Land use Operation           Mineral Exploration including: Estable           and machines, mineral exploration in           Construction, use, and maintenance           Loation           Cabin Lake Property, Camp Lake Prop           This permit may be assigned, extended, d           Mackenzie Valley Land Use Regulations.           Dated at         Yellowknife           Signature Acting Chair           AdmacMarkania	ishment of a camp cluding diamond of winter roads ar perty, Slemon Lake iiscontinued, susper nis <u>19</u> d Signatur	June 8, 201 Desite, storage of fue and RC drilling, trer and RC drilling, trer and access trails.  Property ded or cancelled pur ded or cancelled pur devitness	4, use of vehicles sching, and suant to the	
Ron Woo           Type of Land use Operation           Mineral Exploration including: Estable           and machines, mineral exploration in           Construction, use, and maintenance           Loation           Cabin Lake Property, Camp Lake Property, Camp Lake Property, Same assigned, extended, of           Mackenzie Valley Land Use Regulations.           Dated at         Yellowknife         th           Signature Acting Chair         MacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacMacM	ishment of a camp cluding diamond of winter roads ar perty, Slemon Laka discontinued, susper nis <u>19</u> d Signatur Depiry	June 8, 201 Date storage of fue and RC drilling, trer and RC drilling, trer and access trails. Property ded or cancelled pur ded or cancelled pur de witness Date Date	4, use of vehicles sching, and suant to the r,2018	
Ron Woo         Type of Land use Operation         Mineral Exploration including: Estable         and machines, mineral exploration in         Construction, use, and maintenance         Loation         Cabin Lake Property, Camp Lake Property, Camp Lake Property, Same Same Same Same Same Same Same Same	ishment of a camp cluding diamond of winter roads ar perty, Slemon Lake liscontinued, susper nis <u>19</u> d Signatur Signatur Expiryi July 1	June 8, 201 Date storage of fue and RC drilling, trer dd access trails. e Property dded or cancelled pur ay of July e Witness Date 8, 2023	4, use of vehicles sching, and suant to the	

## Lessons Learned

#### Challenges

- Eligibility:
  - Mineral Claims
  - Access through Tłicho Lands
- Engagement Log incomplete

#### Successes

- Above issues were easily addressed through revised application, access issue has clear way forward (to either seek approval for road or stick to air access)
- Application processed quickly

#### Key Lessons

- Eligibility (right to access) is an important aspect of the application – work to satisfy this requirement early
- Effective and regular communication between proponents and Board staff ensures early identification and resolution of issues

#### Improvements

- Application forms are now more specific about what information to include for eligibility (access agreements, etc)
- Guide to Land Use Permitting Process highlights obtaining eligibility as a first step

### CASE STUDY 2 GOLD TERRA (TERRAX) WATER LICENCE APPLICATION 2019 MV2018L2-0006 YELLOWKNIFE CITY GOLD PROJECT





### CASE STUDY 2 Problem #1

#### THE SCOPE OF THE WATER LICENCE APPLICATION YELLOWKNIFE CITY GOLD PROJECT 800 KM<sup>2</sup>

## THE #1 PROBLEM FOR MVLWB

<ul> <li>Uncertainty of where (and when) water would be used</li> <li>Uncertainty of volume of water usage, and whether water bodies could sustain the uptake</li> </ul>	TerraX (Gold Terra) Response was technical – and assumed worst a case scenario* "Assuming no recharge over the 5 years from snow melt, rain, or the watershed drainage (which has obviously occurred), TerraX's usage of Daigle Lake ( <i>as example</i> ) is <b>0.47%</b> of the static lake volume, or less than <b>0.1%</b> per year on average. Even ignoring the return of the water used to the watershed, this volume was recovered several times over by natural recharge over the 5 years. Even this case of most intensive drill activity on a small lake would result in only <b>1 centimeter of</b> drawdown on Daigle Lake, again assuming no return water to the watershed or natural recharge"
	Our Response was essentially saying 'don't worry'

GOLD — TERRA

\*Highlights added for this presentation

## **OUTCOME OF MV2018L2-0006**

- Licence was approved (but)
- Conditions on water draw are onerous
  - Bathymetry is required on all lakes where water uptake will occur
  - A maximum of 0.5% of a water body volume is allowed in a 365 day period

## UNDERSTANDING THIS ISSUE

### What Activity are you Regulating for Advanced Exploration?



DRILL WAS ON THIS SITE FOR 2 DAYS



## BEST PRACTICES IN THE MV Two Opposing Views





## BEST PRACTICES IN THE MV Two Opposing Views





## BEST PRACTICES IN THE MV Two Opposing Views

## **INDUSTRY** REGULATOR Expedite Protect the Exploration Land WHERE IS THE **'HAPPY MEDIUM'**

### **BEST PRACTICES IN THE MV** An Industry Representative's Views

The North needs a system that expedites exploration Better, Cheaper, and Faster than Southern Canada



#### COMPARISON - NL and NWT EXPLORATION PERMIT PROCESSES HOW DO WE STACK UP



Who Regulates Your Exploration Activity



#### COMPARISON OF NL PERMIT PROCESS and NWT PERMIT PROCESSES APPLICATION AND APPROVAL

#### NEWFOUNDLAND

- Exploration work in Labrador may require Indigenous consultation. The Province is responsible for conducting Indigenous consultation
- Once the applications are referred out, other departments/agencies are requested to reply with any concerns or comments within 14 days
- After 14 days and/or once all comments are received, approval letters are prepared and issued to applicants.

#### NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

- 3 12 months is indicated in the Guide to
   Water Licences for 'Engagement' prior to
   submitting an application
  - as a note the proponent is not made aware of this requirement when staking a claim!
- The Board is required to make a decision on a type A or type B water licence within 9 months – this can be extended indefinitely
  - Includes 30 days of public on-line comment and response
- Water Licences also requires a Land Use
   Permit to carry out exploration activities
  - Carried out in parallel, but require separate applications and public on-line comments and responses
- It is possible to be approved for 1 application and not the other, in which case no work can proceed.

### HOW DO WE IMPROVE THE SYSTEM

- 1. The regulatory process needs to recognize that the most important stakeholder in a permit application is the <u>proponent</u>. Policy guidance should direct the Boards to aid industry, not block industry
- 2. Technical staff, Executive Directors, and Board members need education in the activities they are permitting. This should consist of:
  - a. Industry related materials for appointees and a program of on-going education both desktop and hands-on (site visits)
  - b. Competency testing on policy, process, and practical application of technical matters
- 3. Board members need longer appointments to allow for "memory" and consistent rulings
- 4. Eliminate formal engagement requirements and the public review process for preliminary exploration including drilling it is expensive, time consuming, and creates uncertainty
- 5. Avoid duplication one permit for exploration activities (300 m<sup>3</sup>/day) under a LUP
- 6. Empower inspectors (land or water) to approve drilling activity and water uptake locations
- 7. Standardize conditions for exploration activities and approve applications if the technical review is deemed complete
- 8. Form policy direction to technical staff that requires them to assist proponents to successfully complete applications. The goal for the MV is for an application never to fail

